



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/914,227	12/19/2001	Yehuda Yamay	2786-0186P	2035	
2292	7590 Ø2/24/2004		EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			HUYNH, LOUIS K		
	JRCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3721	14	
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/914,227	YAMAY, YEHUDA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Louis K. Huynh	3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC ute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communi- ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	· cation.				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	January 2004.						
· _ ·	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the meri	its is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 February 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	52.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b)⊠ Some * c) None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	nts have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in	Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International Bure	•	n received in this National Stage	е				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	, ,,,	t received.					
	•						
Attachment(s)	_						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _						

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 12, 2004 has been entered.

Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on two (2) applications filed in Israel: 128710 filed 02/24/1999 and 132708 filed 11/02/1999. A copy of the certified copy of the Israel 128710 has been received and placed in the file wrapper. However, a copy of a certified copy of the Israel 132708 has not been received.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 28-29: "relative vertical displacement of the holder and the spacer member so as to form proximate to the rim a confined space" is not a proper process step for performing an action. Perhaps "vertically displacing the membrane and the holder into sealing engagement

Application/Control Number: 09/914,227 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

wit h the spacer member so as to form proximate to the rim a confined space" would be appropriate for such a process step.

Claim 1, line 39-40: "displacement of said pressing <u>place</u> other to bring the closure membrane in contact with said rim" is confusing and not a proper process step for performing an action. Perhaps "displacing said pressing plate to bring the closure membrane into contact with said rim" would be appropriate for such a process step.

Claim, lines 13-14: "in the sate of sealing engagement" is not understood.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO'400 (WO 91/03400) in view of Noel et al. (US 5,718,101).

WO'400 discloses a method for packaging a product in a container including the steps of: introducing a food product into a cup-shaped container (3) having a peripheral rim (9); placing the container into a holder (21) beneath and concentric with a spacer (65); providing above the rim of the container (14) a flat lid-forming film (5); relatively vertically displacing the holder (21) and the spacer (65) to form a space defined by the container (3), the holder (21), the spacer (65) and the lid-forming film (5); introducing a replacement gas through a gas inlet (97) formed in the spacer (65); displacing a pressing plate (103) to bring the lid-forming film into contact with the rim of the container (3) and to seal the lid-forming film to the rim of the container (3).

Application/Control Number: 09/914,227 Page 4

Art Unit: 3721

The method of WO'400 meets all of applicant's claimed subject matter but lacks the specific teaching of the lid-forming film being gas impermeable.

However, Noel teaches a method of packaging product in a container (14) wherein the container (14) with the product therein is gas tight sealed with a first gas permeable plastic film and a second gas impermeable plastic film, and wherein the first and the second film can be integrally formed into a single lid forming film (col. 2, lines 10-13). Since the method of WO'400 includes the use of a second lid (1) in order to provide a gas tight sealed package; therefore, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skilled person in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the method of WO'400 by having provided a gas impermeable lid forming film, as taught by Noel, in order to form a gas tight sealed package without the use of the second lid.

With respect to Claim 4, in the modified method of WO'400 the gas impermeable lid forming means would have been plastic film (Noel, col. 11, line 26-47).

With respect to Claims 5 and 6, the space defined by the container (3), the holder (21), the spacer (65) and the lid-forming film is brought into communication with the external atmosphere/vacuum via the gas outlet (93) (WO'400; FIG. 8).

7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO'400 (WO 91/03400) in view of Noel et al. (US 5,718,101) as applied to Claim 1 above; and further in view of Grune et al. (US 5,071,667).

The modified method of WO'400 meets all of applicant's claimed subject matter but lacks the specific teaching of the product being a pasty material. However, Grune teaches that pasty material such as milk-containing product is gas-treated to promote expulsion of air from

Application/Control Number: 09/914,227

Art Unit: 3721

the container prior to the final sealing step in order to prolong storage life of the product (column 2, lines 22-42). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with an ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have further modified the method of Noel et al. by having pasty material as the product to be gas treated, as taught by Grune et al., in order to prolong storage life of the pasty material.

8. Claims 7-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO'400 (WO 91/03400).

WO'400 discloses an apparatus for forming a hermetically sealed container including: a holder (21) having an axially projecting skirt for holding a container (3) having flange (9); a spacer (65) having an opening engageable with a lid-forming film (5) for defining a space defined by the container (3), the holder (21), the spacer (65) and the lid-forming film (5); a means (89) for bringing the spacer (65) into sealing engagement against the lid-forming film (5) and the holder (21); a gas inlet (97) formed on the spacer (65) and a gas outlet (93); an axially displaceable heat sealing mechanism (103) for displacing the lid-forming film (5) toward the container (3) through the opening of the spacer (65) and attaching the lid-forming film to the flange (9) of the container (3) to form a gas-tight seal; a vacuum forming cup (81) sealingly engageable with the bottom surface of the holder (21); and an axially displaceable trimming member (133) for trimming the lid-forming film (5) around the flange (9) of the container (3).

Regarding the recitation of the container not being filled entirely by the product in the preamble of the claim, the container (3) used in the apparatus of WO'400 is capable of receiving a product less than the container volume, and the volume, shape and/or type of the product to be

Application/Control Number: 09/914,227

Art Unit: 3721

packaged is obvious as a matter of engineering design choice and thus does not differentiate the

Page 6

claimed apparatus over the applied prior art satisfying the claimed structural limitations.

Regarding the limitation of the lid-forming film being membrane, the apparatus of

WO'400 is capable of utilizing such gas-impermeable material as the lid forming film and thus

such limitation does not differentiate the claimed apparatus over the applied prior art satisfying

the claimed structural limitations.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Louis K. Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 306-5694.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rinaldi I. Rada can be reached on (703) 308-2187. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LH

February, 23, 2004

Louis K. Huynh
Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3721